

CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

September 30, 2018

CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS
Cedar Hill, Texas

September 30, 2018

CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i>	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS.....	5
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	7
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS.....	8

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council,
City of Cedar Hill, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Cedar Hill (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

(Continued)

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Crowe LLP". The word "Crowe" is written in a cursive style, and "LLP" is in a simpler, blocky font.

Crowe LLP

Dallas, Texas
March 25, 2019

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM;
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF
EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council,
City of Cedar Hill, Texas

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Cedar Hill's (the "City") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2018. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal program.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the City's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2018.

(Continued)

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended September 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2019, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.


Crowe LLP

Dallas, Texas
March 25, 2019

CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
Year Ended September 30, 2018

Federal grantor/pass-through grantor/program title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Total Federal Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security (FEMA)</u>			
Pass Through Dallas County			
TDEM- Hurricane Harvey - PD Sheltering	97.xxx	STAR 00-26106 (TX)	\$ 13,237
Pass Through Tx Dept of Public Safety			
TDEM- Emergency Management Performance Grant (Fire)	97.042	18TX-EMPG-0005	<u>31,751</u>
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security (FEMA)			<u>44,988</u>
<u>U.S. Health and Human Services Commission</u>			
Pass Through Texas Health & Human Services			
<i>Medicaid Cluster:</i>			
Ambulance Supplemental Payment Program	93.778	n/a	<u>277,738</u>
Total U.S. Health & Human Services			<u>277,738</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>			
Pass Through Texas Department of Transportation			
State and Community Highway Safety Program (STEP)	20.616	0918-45-897	5,129
<i>Highway Planning and Construction Cluster:</i>			
TAPS Grant -FM1382 Hike and Bike Trail (phase 2 Proj#STP 2017(101) TP)	20.205	0918-47-136	<u>2,024,803</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			<u>2,029,932</u>
<u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u>			
Pass Through Dallas County			
Community Development Block Grant - Weaver Sidewalk	14.218	B-16-MC-48-0008	<u>61,307</u>
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>61,307</u>
<u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</u>			
Pass Through Office of the Governor - Criminal Justice Division			
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant -	16.738	DJ-11-A10-26847-01	6,214
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program (BVPP)	16.607	2018-BG-ST-0025	<u>12,762</u>
Total U.S. Department of Justice			<u>18,976</u>
Total Federal Expenditures			<u>\$ 2,432,941</u>

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditure of federal awards.

CITY OF CEDAR HILL
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
September 30, 2018

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the “Schedule”) includes the federal grant activity of the City of Cedar Hill, Texas (the City) under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2018. The City’s reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City’s financial statements.

The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the City.

NOTE 2 – BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the City’s financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

De Minimis Cost Rate: The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Subrecipients: Of the Federal expenditures presented in the schedule, the City did not provide any Federal awards to subrecipients.

Non-Cash Assistance: The City did not receive any non-cash assistance during the year.

Federal Insurance: The City had no Federal insurance in force during the year.

Loan Activity: The City had no loan activity during the year and no loans outstanding as of year-end.

NOTE 3 – RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Grant expenditure reports as of September 30, 2018, which have been submitted to grantor agencies will, in some cases, differ from amounts disclosed herein. The reports prepared for grantor agencies are typically prepared at a later date and often reflect refined estimates of the year-end accruals. The reports will agree at termination of the grant as the discrepancies noted are timing differences.

CITY OF CEDAR HILL
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
September 30, 2018

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No

Federal Awards

Internal Control over major program:

Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major program: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be disclosed in accordance with the Uniform Guidance? Yes X No

Identification of major program:

Grant Numbers
CFDA 20.205

Name of Federal Program
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

There were no findings for the year ended September 30, 2018.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no findings for the year ended September 30, 2018.

CITY OF CEDAR HILL
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
September 30, 2018

Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no prior year findings.